Time Series Analysis

Kichun Lee

Hanyang University, Fall, 2013





1 / 12

Partial Correlation

- The number of churches and the number of crimes are highly and positively correlated. The reason? It is because of population.
- For random variables X, Y, correlation ρ_{X,Y} = Corr(X, Y) captures a degree of linear dependence between the two.
- Partial correlation of X and Y excluding Z is denoted by

$$\rho_{X,Y|Z} = corr(X,Y|Z)$$

and computed as follows. We will regress X on Z and Y on Z, trying to remove the influence of Z and to take the residual of each. Then compute the correlation of the residuals.

$$X = Z\alpha + e_X$$
$$Y = Z\beta + e_Y,$$

Then we can show that

$$\rho_{X,Y|Z} = \frac{\rho_{X,Y} - \rho_{X,Z}\rho_{Y,Z}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{X,Z}^2}\sqrt{1 - \rho_{Y,Z}^2}}.$$

Partial Correlation cont.

• Recall the regression: regress Y on X after centering X and Y [Example 3.14 in the book]

$$= \min_{\alpha} Var[Y] - 2\alpha Cov(X, Y) + \alpha^2 Var(X).$$

The first order condition leads to

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{Var(X)} \simeq corr(X, Y).$$

The approximation was made under the condition Var(X) = Var(Y).

• Partial Correlation for AR(1) $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + a_t$? $\phi_{11} = Corr(x_t, x_{t-1}) = \rho(1) = \phi.$

Partial Correlation cont.

$$\phi_{22} = Corr(x_t, x_{t-2}|x_{t-1})$$

= Corr(x_t - \alpha x_{t-1}, x_{t-2} - \beta x_{t-1})
= Corr(a_t, a_{t-1}) = 0

$$\phi_{33} = Corr(x_t, x_{t-3} | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2})$$

= Corr(x_t - \alpha_1 x_{t-1} - \alpha_2 x_{t-2}, x_{t-2} - \beta_1 x_{t-1} - \beta_2 x_{t-2})
= Corr(a_t, x_{t-2} - \beta_1 x_{t-1} - \beta_2 x_{t-2}) = 0

• Partial Correlation for AR(2) $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + a_t$? The same procedure reveals that $\phi_{11} = \rho(1) = \frac{\phi_1}{1 - \phi_2}, \phi_{22} = \dots$ $\phi_{33} = Corr(x_t - \phi_1 x_{t-1} - \phi_2 x_{t-2}, x_{t-3} - \beta_1 x_{t-2} - \beta_2 x_{t-1}) =$ $Corr(a_t, \dots) = 0$ $\phi_{44} = 0, \dots$

Partial Correlation cont

• In general, PACF (partial autocorrelation function) is computed via

$$\rho_{1} = \phi_{h1} + \phi_{h2}\rho_{1} + \dots + \phi_{hh}\rho_{h-1}$$

$$\rho_{2} = \phi_{h1}\rho_{1} + \phi_{h2} + \dots + \phi_{hh}\rho_{h-2}$$
...
$$\rho_{h} = \phi_{h1}\rho_{h-1} + \phi_{h2}\rho_{h-2} + \dots + \phi_{hh}\rho_{h-2}$$
1939

Solve for ϕ_{hh} from the system of equations.

[Example] For AR(2), ۲

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho(1) \\ \rho(2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

3

Behavior of ACF and PACF



Figure : Behavior of the ACF and PACF for ARMA Models

• By the behavior of ACF and PACF, we can empirically determine an appropriate ARMA model. Refer to [Example 3.17 in the book]

Behavior of ACF and PACF (cont.)



Figure : Behavior of the ACF and PACF in Example 3.17

- AR(2) seems to be appropriate.
- Also use [Property 1.1]: for white noise

$$\hat{\rho_x}(h) \sim N(0, 1/\sqrt{n})$$
 for large *n*.

Model building

- Given x_1, x_2, \cdots , let us try to fit it into ARMA(p,q).
 - $\textcircled{0} We need to decide <math>p, q \rightarrow Model Identification$
 - **2** Estimate unknown parameters \rightarrow Model Estimation
 - $\textcircled{O} \text{ Verify that it is a reasonable model} \rightarrow \text{Diagnostic Checking}$
 - Then, we predict
- Often, the steps of Model Identification and Diagnostic Checking are hard to separate, so they are considered together.
- For the above steps, we use
 - ACF and PACF
 - Asymptotic (large-n) tests
 - Box-Ljung test
 - Ø Sign test
 - 8 Rank test
 - ④ Q-Q plot
 - AIC, BIC, FPE, ···

- 3

通 ト イヨト イヨト

Testing if ACF follows WN

• We want to test if $\hat{\rho}(h)$ is significant:

 $\begin{cases} H_0 : \hat{\rho}(h) \text{ is the same as that of WN} \\ H_a : \text{ not } H_0. \end{cases}$

Recall that in [Property 1.1]

 $\hat{
ho_x}(h) \sim N(0, 1/\sqrt{n})$ for large *n*.

To understand it, use $\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{1}{N-h} \sum_{t=1}^{N-h} a_t a_{t-h} E[\hat{\rho}(h)] = 0$ and $Var[\hat{\rho}(h)] = \frac{1}{N-h}$.

• Usually, we have the CI of $\hat{\rho}(h)$ to be $2/\sqrt{N}$.

Checking residuals

- After a model is fit, the residual \hat{a}_t should behave just like white noise as was assumed.
- Another way to test if \hat{a}_t follows white noise is Ljung-Box-Pierce Q-statistics. It is a kind of χ^2 test.
 - For autocorrelation function, \hat{r}_h , of residuals after fitting ARMA(p,q) $\hat{r}_h \sim N(0, 1/n)$ $n\hat{r}_h^2 \sim \chi_1^2$.
 - Thus, Box-Pierce statistics for ARMA(p,q)

$$Q = n \sum_{h=1}^{k} \hat{r}_h^2 \sim \chi^2_{k-p-q}$$

- ▶ large $Q \approx$ the assumption not satisfied \approx p-value small \approx there can be a better model than the used one
- Sometimes a modified version is used

$$Q = n(n+2) \sum_{h=1}^{k} \hat{r}_{h}^{2} / (n-k) \sim \chi_{k-p-q}^{2}$$

- Practically, k is chosen to be around 20
- The sum of the squared residuals (SSR) tells us how much the model fits.
- The Box-Pierce test involves how the residuals as a group behave like white noise.

Checking residuals (cont.)

Kichun Lee

• We can also draw a normal-probability plot or a q-q plot to see if the residuals follow a normal distribution.







Model selection by AIC, BIC

Then we will look at AIC and BIC values for model selection.

- While adding more parameters makes residuals small, it might worsen the ability of prediction.
- Thus, for theoretical prediction performance, we use AIC, Akaike, criterion from ARMA(p,q) AIC = $-2\log(\hat{L}) + \frac{2(p+q+1)n}{n-p-q-2}$.

The term \hat{L} is the likelihood value after fitting the ARMA(p,q) model. The second term is a penalty factor for large p or q. So we consider the compromise between model fitting and the

number of parameters.

- We would like to find the model which minimizes AIC values.
- Another criterion is BIC, Bayesian Information Criterion: BIC = $-2 \log(\hat{L}) + 2(p + q + 1) \log n$.
- Refer to [Example 2.2 in the book]